

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/616,144  | 07/08/2003              | Sandro Panaioli      | F3312(C)                | 2072             |
| 201   | 7590 09/01/2006         |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP<br>700 SYLVAN AVENUE,<br>BLDG C2 SOUTH |                         |                      | CORBIN, ARTHUR L        |                  |
|   |                         |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ENGLEWO   | OD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-310 | 0                    | 1761                    |                  |
|   |                         |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006 | ,<br>,           |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | <u> </u>   |   | 1   |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/616,144  | PANAIOLI ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Arthur L. Corbin  | 1761  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| WHI0 - External after af | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju  | <u>ıne 2006</u> .   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3)[  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D  | v. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□  | Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | ion Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |
| <sup>-</sup> 9)[☐<br>10)[☑   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  | ☑ accepted or b)☐ object<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyar<br>ion is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| a)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                       | pplication No received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic 3)  Infon  | te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No(s  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>                               |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 12, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sir Redhawk in view of "Freezing Lasagna" and Nilsson as set forth on pages 2-4 of the August 12, 2005 Office action. Also, Sir Redhawk is described in detail on page 2 of the June 2, 2004 Office action.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sir Redhawk in view of "Freezing Lasagna" and Nilsson as applied to claims 1-3 and 6 above, and further in view of Mattson as set forth on page 5 of the August 12, 2005 Office action.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,144

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis in claim 1 for "the remaining layers" (claim 1, line 4).

- Applicant's arguments filed June 12, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The concept of freezing lasagna is clearly suggested by either secondary reference. Further, the primary reference discloses a lasagna product in which a single pasta sheet encloses another pasta layer as well as sauce and spinach. Thus, the final product prepared in the primary reference is equivalent to applicant's product except for the fact that it is not frozen and does not have a specific weight disclosed.
- 8. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

Application/Control Number: 10/616,144

Art Unit: 1761

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1761

Arthur L Corbin Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

Page 5